

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,921	01/31/2001	Hideaki Shiraishi	14274	5315
23389	7590 06/17/2003			
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			EXAMINER	
	N CITY PLAZA TY, NY 11530		NGUYEN, DUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	licant(s)			
•	Office Action Summer:	09/774,921	SHIRAISHI, HIDEAKI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MANUAL DATE of the second	Dung Nguyen	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u>April 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∐ ⊺	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
į.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[∑	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/774,921

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-4) in Paper No. 7 (04/30/2003) is acknowledged.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is confusing and unclear that how one or more scribe cracks can be formed on <u>each side</u> of two sheets of substrates (emphasis added). For the purpose of examination, according to the specification and drawings, it is assumed that at least one or more scribe cracks formed on a side of two sheets of substrates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/774,921

Art Unit: 2871

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shishido et al., JP 9-311323.

The above claims are anticipated by Shishido et al. figures 1 and 3 which disclose a method of fabricating a liquid crystal display (LCD) substrate comprising the step of:

- forming at least one or more scribe cracks (11, 24) on a side of two substrates (1, 2);
- adhering those two substrates (1, 2) to each other via a sealant (step 301) as claimed, wherein scribe crack formed on sealant area;
- cutting the adhered substrate (step 304), wherein cutting step is performed by giving an impact on a face opposite to the face on which the scribe crack is formed (e.g., pressurization object 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shishido et al., JP 9-311323, in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (APA), figure 5A.

Regarding claim 4, although Shishido et al. do not disclosed a step of cutting the adhered substrate by irradiation of a laser, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 09/774,921

Art Unit: 2871

of the invention was made to cut an adhered substrate by irradiating laser on a surface of such adhered substrate, since it is a common practice in the art (e.g, APA, figure 5A) in order to

obtain a highly appropriate cutting surface.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Nishino et al. (US Patent No. 6,010,384) disclose a seal layer is formed on scribe cracks

(figure 10D).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0423. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-7726 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN

06/12/2003

Dung Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 2871

Page 4